1	JOHN P. COALE <i>(pro hac vice)</i> 2901 Fessenden Street NW	ANDREI POPOVICI (234820) MARIE FIALA (79676)
2	Washington, DC 20008	LAW OFFICE OF ANDREI D. POPOVICI,
3	Telephone: (202) 255-2096 Email: johnpcoale@aol.com	P.C. 2121 North California Blvd. #290
4	JOHN Q. KELLY (pro hac vice)	Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (650) 530-9989
	MICHAEL J. JONES (pro hac vice)	Facsimile: (650) 530-9990
5	RYAN TOUGIAS (pro hac vice) IVEY, BARNUM & O'MARA, LLC	Email: andrei@apatent.com Email: marie@apatent.com
6	170 Mason Street Greenwich, CT 06830	RICHARD POLK LAWSON (pro hac vice)
7	Telephone: (203) 661-6000	GARDNER BREWER MARTINEZ MONFORT
8	Email: jqkelly@ibolaw.com	400 North Ashley Drive
9	FRANK C. DUDENHEFER , JR. (pro hac vice)	Suite 1100 Tampa, FL 33602
10	THÉ DUDENHEFER LAW FIRM L.L.C. 2721 Saint Charles Avenue, Suite 2A	Telephone: (813) 221-9600 Facsimile: (813) 221-9611
	New Orleans, LA 70130	Email: rlawson@gbmmlaw.com
11	Telephone: (504) 616-5226 Email: fcdlaw@aol.com	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13	•	NICERICE COURT
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	OALH AND DIVIGION	
16	DONALD J. TRUMP, the Forty-Fifth President	
17	of the United States, KELLY VICTORY, AUSTEN FLETCHER, AMERICAN	Case No: 4:21-CV-08009-JSW
18	CONSERVATIVE UNION, ANDRES	
	BIAGGIANI, MARYSE VERONICA JEAN- LOIUS, NAOMI WOLF, AND FRANK	
19	VALENTINE, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON	DECLARATION OF ANDREI POPOVICI
20	BEHALF OF THOSE SIMILARLY SITUATED,	AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'
21	SHOALED,	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS
22	Plaintiffs, v.	
23		Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White
24	YOUTUBE, LLC, and SUNDAR PICHAI, Defendants	
25	Defendanto	J
26		
27		
28		
	DECL. OF ANDREI POPOVICI AND REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE	Case No. 4:21-cv-08009-JSW

DECLARATION OF ANDREI D. POPOVICI

2 I, Andrei D. Popovici, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before this Court and licensed to practice law in California. I am counsel for the Plaintiffs in this action. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Preliminary Injunction.
- 2. Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Unedited Transcript of the March 25, 2021 House Committee on Energy and Commerce Hearing <u>Disinformation Nation:</u>

 Social Media's Role in Promoting Extremism and Misinformation": Hearing before H. Comm. on Energy and Com., 117th Cong. (2021), downloaded from http://docs.house.gov/meetings/IF/IF16/20210325/111407/HHRG-117-IF16-Transcript-20210325.pdf.
- 3. Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Opening Statement as Prepared for Delivery of Committee Chairman Frank Pallone, Jr. at the March 25, 2021 House Committee on Energy and Commerce Hearing <u>Disinformation Nation: Social Media's Role in Promoting Extremism and Misinformation": Hearing before H. Comm. on Energy and Com.</u>, 117th Cong. (2021), downloaded from
- https://energycommerce.house.gov/sites/democrats.energycommerce.house.gov/files/documents/Opening%20Statement_Pallone_CAT-CPC_2021.3.25_0.pdf.
- 4. Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the Opening Statement as Prepared for Delivery of Communications and Technology Subcommittee Chairman Mike Doyle at the March 25, 2021 House Committee on Energy and Commerce Hearing <u>Disinformation Nation: Social Media's Role in Promoting Extremism and Misinformation": Hearing before H. Comm. on</u>
- Energy and Com., 117th Cong. (2021), downloaded from
 https://energycommerce.house.gov/sites/democrats.energycommerce.house.gov/files/documents/
- 25 Opening%20Statement_Doyle_CAT-CPC_2021.3.25.pdf.
 - 5. Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the Opening Statement as Prepared for Delivery of Consumer Protection and Commerce Subcommittee Chair Janice D. Schakowsky at the March 25, 2021 House Committee on Energy and Commerce Hearing <u>Disinformation Nation:</u>

1	Social Media's Role in Promoting Extremism and Misinformation": Hearing before H. Comm. on
2	Energy and Com., 117th Cong. (2021), downloaded from
3	https://energycommerce.house.gov/sites/democrats.energycommerce.house.gov/files/documents/
4	Opening%20Statement_Schakowsky_CAT-CPC_2021.3.25_0.pdf.
5	6. Exhibit E is a true and correct copy a Memorandum from Committee on Energy
6	and Commerce Staff, dated March 22, 2021, prepared for the March 25, 2021 House Committee
7	on Energy and Commerce Hearing <u>Disinformation Nation: Social Media's Role in Promoting</u>
8	Extremism and Misinformation": Hearing before H. Comm. on Energy and Com., 117th Cong.
9	(Memo From Comm. Staff), downloaded from
10	https://docs.house.gov/meetings/IF/IF16/20210325/111407/HHRG-117-IF16-20210325-
11	SD002.pdf.
12	7. Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of Witness Testimony of Mr. Sundai Pichai,
13	Chief Executive Officer, Google, prepared for the March 25, 2021 House Committee on Energy
14	and Commerce Hearing <u>Disinformation Nation</u> : <u>Social Media's Role in Promoting Extremism</u>
15	and Misinformation": Hearing before H. Comm. on Energy and Com., 117th Cong., downloaded
16	from http://docs.house.gov/meetings/IF/IF16/20210325/111407/HHRG-117-IF16-Wstate-
17	PichaiS-20210325-SD001.pdf.
18	8. Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of Alphabet Inc. Form 10-K dated 2/3/2021,
19	filed for the year ended 12/31/2020, downloaded from
20	https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1652044/000165204421000010/goog-20201231.htm.
21	9. Exhibit H is a true and correct transcription of part of the October 28, 2020 U.S.
22	Senate Committee on Science, Technology and Transportation Hearing <u>Does Section 230's</u>
23	Sweeping Immunity Enable Big Tech Bad Behavior: Hearing before S. Comm. on Commerce,
24	Science and Transportation, 117th Cong., video/audio reviewed at
25	https://www.commerce.senate.gov/2020/10/does-section-230-s-sweeping-immunity-enable-big-
26	tech-bad-behavior.
27	
28	

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. This declaration was executed on January 17, 2022, in Dripping Springs, Texas.

By: /s/ Andrei D. Popovici
Andrei D. Popovici

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201, Plaintiffs ask the Court to take judicial notice of the documents described in the above Declaration of Andrei Popovici in support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Dismiss:

Under Fed. R. Evid. 201, a court "may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it...can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." *See Santa Monica Food Not Bombs v. City of Santa Monica*, 450 F.3d 1022, 1026 n.2 (9th Cir. 2006). Facts contained in public records are considered appropriate subjects of judicial notice, including the records and reports of administrative bodies. *Id. See also* United States v. *Ritchie*, 342 F.3d 903, 909 (9th Cir. 2003). In particular, judicial notice is appropriate under Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)(2) for information obtained from official governmental websites. *Paralyzed Veterans of Am. V. McPherson*, 2008 WL 4183981, at *5 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 8, 2008) (court took judicial notice of information from official government websites, citing multiple decisions from federal circuits and district courts).

A court may consider judicially noticeable materials without converting a motion to dismiss into a motion for summary judgment. *United States v. Ritchie*, 342 F.3d 903, 908 (9th Cir. 2003); *Mir v. Little Co. of Mary Hosp.*, 844 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1988). Furthermore, under the "incorporation by reference" doctrine, a court may "take into account documents 'whose contents are alleged in a complaint and whose authenticity no party questions, but which are not physically attached to the [plaintiff's] pleading." *Knievel v. ESPN*, 393 F.3d 1068, 1076 (9th Cir. 2005).

The March 25, 2021 Congressional Hearing (Exhs. A-F) is referenced in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint at FAC ¶¶ 67, 71 (<u>Disinformation Nation: Social Media's Role in Promoting Extremism and Misinformation: Hearing before H. Comm. on Energy and Com.</u>, 117th Cong.

1	(2021)). The October 28, 2020 Senate Hearing (Exh. H) is referenced in Plaintiffs' First	
2	Amended Complaint at FAC ¶ 67 (<u>Does Section 230's Sweeping Immunity Enable Big Tech B</u>	
3	Behavior: Hearing before S. Comm. on Commerce, Science and Transportation, 117 th Cong.	
4	(2020)). The Court may take judicial notice of these governmental records on a motion to	
5	dismiss. Judan v. Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, 2017 WL 3115172, at *1 (N.D. Cal.	
6	July 21, 2017), citing Mack v. S. Bay Beer Distrib., Inc., 798 F.2d 1279, 1282 (9th Cir. 1986),	
7	abrograted on other grounds by Astoria Fed. Sav. Loan Ass'n v. Solimino, 501 U.S. 104 (1991).	
8	Regarding Exhibit G, the Court may take judicial notice of the content of the Securitie	
9	and Exchange Commission Form 10-K, and the fact that it was filed with the agency. Gerritsen	
10	v. Warner Bros Entertainment Inc., 112 F. Supp.3d 1011, 1031-1032 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 2015)	
11	("Defendants [] contend that "Ms. Gerritsen cannot amend her complaint by attaching	
12	[corporate disclosure documents] to her brief in opposition to defendants' Motion to Dismiss.	
13	Courts can consider securities offerings and corporate disclosure documents that are publicly	
14	available. See Metzler Inv. GMBH v. Corinthian Colleges, Inc., 540 F.3d 1049, 1064 n. 7 (9tl	
15	Cir.2008). See also Lovelace v. Software Spectrum Inc., 78 F.3d 1015, 1018 (5th Cir.1996	
16	("When deciding a motion to dismiss, a court may consider the contents of relevant publ	
17	disclosure documents which (1) are required to be filed with the SEC, and (2) are actually file	
18	with the SEC. Such documents should be considered only for the purpose of determining what	
19	statements the document contain, not to prove the truth of the documents' contents," citir	
20	Hennessy v. Penril Datacomm Networks, Inc., 69 F.3d 1344, 1354–55 (7th Cir.1995)).	
21	Plaintiffs respectfully ask that the Court take judicial notice of the existence and content	
22	of the documents submitted as Exhibits A-H.	
23		
24	Dated: January 17, 2022 Respectfully submitted,	
25	ANDREI POPOVICI (234820) MARIE FIALA (79676)	
26	LAW OFFICE OF ANDREI D. POPOVICI, P.C.	
27		

28

1	
2	By: /s/ Andrei D. Popovici
	Andrei D. Popovici
3	JOHN P. COALE (pro hac vice)
4	2901 Fessenden Street NW
5	Washington, DC 20008
5	Telephone: (202) 255-2096 Email: johnpcoale@aol.com
6	Eman. joinipedate@aoi.com
7	JOHN Q. KELLY (pro hac vice)
	MICHAEL J. JONES (pro hac vice)
8	RYAN TOUGIAS (pro hac vice)
9	IVEY, BARNUM & O'MARA, LLC 170 Mason Street
	Greenwich, CT 06830
10	Telephone: (203) 661-6000
11	Email: jqkelly@ibolaw.com
11	Email: mjones@ibolaw.com
12	
13	FRANK C. DUDENHEFER, JR. (pro hac vice)
13	THE DUDENHEFER LAW FIRM L.L.C.
14	2721 Saint Charles Avenue, Suite 2A New Orleans, LA 70130
1.5	Telephone: (504) 616-5226
15	Email: fcdlaw@aol.com
16	
17	RICHARD POLK LAWSON (pro hac vice)
17	GARDNER BREWER MARTINEZ
18	MONFORT 400 North Ashley Drive
10	Suite 1100
19	Tampa, FL 33602
20	Telephone: (813) 221-9600
21	Facsimile: (813) 221-9611
21	Email: rlawson@gbmmlaw.com
22	
22	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
23	
24	
25	
25	
26	
27	
27	
28	